

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,188	03/11/2004	Christopher Prevost	00770.P1	8624
7590 12/15/2004			EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & STAINBROOK, LLP			GONZALEZ, MADELINE	
Suite 203 3558 Round Ba	rn Blvd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Santa Rosa, CA 95403			2859	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(M	_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/800,188	PREVOST, CHRISTOPHER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Madeline Gonzalez	2859	/			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a	a) $igtit{igtilde{igtharpoonup}}$ accepted or b) $igtilde{igtharpoonup}$ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f). ,	•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N. A.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed III tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/04.	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 9, 11 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) Claim 9: The claim recites the limitation "said back side" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
 - b) Claim 11: --said-- should be added after "wherein" in line 1.
 - c) Claim 13: --said-- should be added after "around" in line 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10-12, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmeister et al. (U.S. 6,739,065) [hereinafter Hofmeister] in view of Wegman (U.S. 6,026,584).

Application/Control Number: 10/800,188

Art Unit: 2859

Hofmeister discloses a hanging device, as shown in Fig. 1, having:

a vertical member 20 having an upper end, a lower end, and a longitudinal axis;

• a horizontal bar 30 attached to said vertical member with connection means

proximate said upper end and having a longitudinal axis substantially perpendicular

Page 3

to said longitudinal axis of said vertical member, and further including leveling

means 180;

a marking tool assembly releasably connected to said vertical member 20 and slidable

along said longitudinal axis of said vertical member 20, said marking tool assembly

including a marking tool 110 having a tip 115, and retention means 260 for bearing an

object by its wall fastener hardware during use;

wherein said vertical member 20 includes at least a front side and a back side;

• wherein said vertical member 20 further includes a slot 50 running proximate said

lower end to proximate said upper end;

wherein said leveling means 180 is a level bubble disposed in a substantially parallel

orientation to said longitudinal axis of said horizontal bar 30;

• wherein said horizontal bar 30 is generally elongate and includes at least a bottom

side and a back side;

• wherein said bottom side of said horizontal bar 30 is substantially planar and is

parallel to said longitudinal axis of said horizontal bar 30;

wherein said horizontal bar 30 has a mid-portion and further includes a recess at said

mid-portion which mates with said vertical member 20, as shown in Fig. 2;

Art Unit: 2859

 wherein said marking tool assembly includes a marking punch having a pointed tip comprising said marking tool tip 115;

 a clip 120 for releasably capturing an object between said clip 120 and said vertical member 20; and

• wherein said clip 120 includes a base.

Hofmeister lacks the specific connections means of the horizontal bar, a handle portion, the handle portion being integral with the vertical member, the vertical member having a bend to form the handle portion, a grip portion having a molded grip, the specific material of the clip and the specific material of the base.

With respect to the specific connections means of the horizontal bar: Hofmeister discloses a device having a horizontal bar 30 preferably integrally attached to a vertical member 20. The use of the particular type of connection means claimed by applicant, i.e., a screw, is considered to be nothing more than a choice of engineering skill, choice or design because 1) neither non-obvious nor unexpected results, i.e., results which are different in kind and not in degree from the results of the prior art, will be obtained as long as the horizontal bar is connected to the vertical member, as already suggested by Hofmeister, and 2) the use of the particular type of connection means by Applicant is considered to be nothing more than the use of one of numerous and well known alternate types of connection means that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to provide using routine experimentation in order to connect the horizontal bar with the vertical member as already suggested by Hofmeister. Therefore, it

would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a screw to connect the horizontal bar with the vertical member disclosed by Hofmeister in order to be able to replace one of the parts in case of damage.

With respect to the handle portion, the handle portion being integral with the vertical member, the vertical member having a bend to form the handle portion, and the grip portion having a molded grip: Wegman discloses an apparatus, as shown in Fig. 1, having a handle portion 42 connected to an disposed from said upper end of a vertical member 12, said handle portion being integral with said vertical member 12, said vertical member 12 including a bend at an upper end which directs an extension of said vertical member 12 forwardly and downwardly to form said handle portion 42, and said handle portion including a grip portion having a molded grip. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a handle portion as taught by Wegman to the device disclosed by Hofmeister in order to facilitate gripping the device.

With respect to the specific material of the clip and the specific material of the base: Hofmeister as modified by Wegman disclose a device having a clip 120 made of some material, said clip having a base. The particular type of material used to make the clip and the base claimed by applicant, i.e., a resilient clip and a non-slip resilient clip, is only considered to be the use of a "preferred" or "optimum" material out of a plurality of well known materials that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have find obvious to provide using routine experimentation based, among other things, on the intended use of Applicant's apparatus, i.e., suitability for the intended use of Applicant's apparatus. See <u>In re</u>

Page 6

Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960) where the court stated that a selection of a material on the

basis of suitability for intended use of an apparatus would be entirely obvious. Therefore, it

would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to make the clip and the base disclosed by Hofmeister as modified by Wegman of a

resilient material in order to allow some flexibility and avoid damage due to the weight of the

object hanged on the clip.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmeister (U.S. 4.

6,739,065) in view of Wegman (U.S. 6,026,584) as applied to claims 1-8, 10-12, 19 and 20

above, and further in view of Krake et al. (U.S. 2002/0078582) [hereinafter Krake].

Hofmeister as modified by Wegman disclosed all the subject matter claimed above in

paragraph 3 with the exception of padding material attached to a back side of the horizontal bar.

With respect to the padding material attached to a back side of the horizontal bar: Krake

discloses a picture hanging device, as shown in Fig. 1, having padding material 9 attached to a

back side of a horizontal back in order to prevent scratching of a contacting surface and to

prevent inadvertent movement of the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a padding material as

taught by Krake to the backside the horizontal bar disclosed by Hofmeister as modified by

Art Unit: 2859

Wegman in order to prevent scratching of a contacting surface and to prevent inadvertent movement of the device.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmeister 5. (U.S. 6,739,065) in view of Wegman (U.S. 6,026,584) as applied to claims 1-8, 10-12, 19 and 20 above, and further in view of Bogart (U.S. 610,021).

Hofmeister as modified by Wegman disclosed all the subject matter claimed above in paragraph 3 with the exception of a protective collar, the marking punch including a threaded middle portion, a cap, the specific shape of the cap, a channel, a nut, and a threaded hole.

With respect to the protective collar, the marking punch including a threaded middle portion, the cap, the nut, the threaded hole, the annular gripping structure and the retention plate: Bogart discloses a gage, as shown in Fig. 1, having a protective collar a disposed around a tool tip c', a spindle c having a threaded middle portion and a cap, a nut e having a front side for surface-to-surface contact with a vertical member b, a rear side and a threaded hole extending from the front adapted to releasably capture said threaded middle portion of said spindle c. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the marking assembly disclosed by Hofmeister as modified by Wegman by providing the marking punch with a protective collar, a threaded middle portion and a nut in order to securely connect the marking punch to the carriage 90.

With respect to the specific shape of the cap and the channel. Hofmeister as modified by Wegman and Bogart disclosed a device having a marking punch including a cap. The specific shape claimed by applicant, i.e., a conical cap, is only considered to be an obvious modification of the shape of cap disclosed by Hofmeister as modified by Wegman and Bogart as the courts have held that a change in shape or configuration, is within the level of skill in the art as the particular shape claimed by Applicant is nothing more than one of numerous shapes that a person having ordinary skill in the art will find obvious to provide using routine experimentation based on its suitability for the intended use of the invention. See *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976). With this modification a channel will be formed on the cap 260 and fastener hardware may be disposed in said channel when in use.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pfeffer discloses a hanging device having a vertical member, a horizontal bar, and a marking assembly having a removable rubber cap. Floyd discloses an apparatus having a

Application/Control Number: 10/800,188

Art Unit: 2859

marking assembly. Gruenberg et al. ('991), Ramsey and Greene disclose devices having a

handle. Lieberman, Stewart and Muchnik disclose devices having a marking tool and a

protective collar around said tool. Sollars et al. ('669) discloses a device having padding

material 9.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Madeline Gonzalez whose telephone number is (571) 272-2243.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:30), alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MG

Diego F.F. Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Munto fatt

Page 9

CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER